



Research Article

www.ijrap.net



ANTIULCER ACTIVITY OF *SPHAERANTHUS INDICUS* AGAINST INDOMETHACIN, ETHANOL AND SIX HOURS PYLORUS LIGATION INDUCED ULCER IN RATS

Shirode Devendra S^{1*}, Jain Brijendra B², CB Mahendra Kumar³

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Pharmacy, Akurdi, Pune, Maharashtra, India and Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University (JNTU), Hyderabad, Telangana, India

²Associate Director, YSPM's- Yashoda Technical Campus, Satara, Maharashtra, India

³Principal, St. Mary's College of Pharmacy, St. Francis Street, Secunderabad, India

Received on: 25/06/15 Revised on: 22/07/15 Accepted on: 10/08/15

*Corresponding author

Dr. Devendra S. Shirode, Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Pharmacy, Akurdi, Pune, Maharashtra, India
E-mail: dssdypcop@gmail.com

DOI: 10.7897/2277-4343.066145

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to test the antiulcer activity of ethanol extract of aerial parts of *Sphaeranthus indicus* (SIEE) in indomethacin, ethanol and 6 hours pylorus ligation induced ulcer models in rats. SIEE was prepared and subjected to acute toxicity study as per CPCSEA guideline number 420. Two doses i.e. 200 mg/kg and 400 mg/kg were selected. In pylorus ligation induced ulcer model, the parameters taken for assessing the anti-ulcer activity were gastric volume, pH, free acidity, total acidity and ulcer index. Ulcer index was also determined in ethanol and indomethacin induced ulcer models. Pretreatment with the extract has shown dose dependent decrease in ulcer index in all the experimental models of ulcers (indomethacin, ethanol and pylorus ligation induced ulcers) and also reduced the total acidity, free acidity, gastric volume and increased the pH in pylorus induced ulcer model. However, the results of gastric volume and pH were not significant with 200mg/kg dose. It is concluded from this study that SIEE possess antiulcer properties in different gastric ulcer models. The antiulcer properties of the extract may be attributed to the polyphenolic compounds that are present in plant.

Keywords: *Sphaeranthus indicus*, antiulcer, pylorus ligation, ethanol, indomethacin.

INTRODUCTION

Gastric ulcer is a common disorder of the gastrointestinal system, which causes much discomfort to patients, disrupting their daily routines and causing mental agony. Ulcers are caused due to imbalances between the offensive and defensive factors of the gastric mucosa¹. Although there are many products used for the treatment of gastric ulcers, most of these drugs produce several adverse reactions². Hence herbal medicines derived from plant extracts are increasingly being utilized. Several natural drugs have been reported to possess anti-ulcerogenic activity by virtue of their predominant effect on mucosal defensive factors^{3,4}. Hence the present study was planned to exploit the safety and efficacy of a *Sphaeranthus indicus*.

Sphaeranthus indicus Linn belongs to family Asteraceae. The plant is reportedly used on treating epileptic convulsions, mental illnesses and hemicranias⁵. It is used as a tonic, laxative, digestive, anthelmintic, and the treatment of insanity, tuberculosis, diseases of the spleen, anemia, bronchitis, elephantiasis, pain of the uterus and vagina, piles, asthma, leucoderma and hemicranias^{5,6}. Literature reports of this plant revealed the presence of an essential oil, glycosides, and eudesmanoids⁷, an alkaloid sphaeranthine⁸ and an isoflavone 5,4'-dimethoxy-3'-prenylbichanin 7-O- β -galactoside with some interesting sesquiterpene⁹⁻¹¹ and a new flavone glycoside¹².

The pharmacological data reveals that plant possess analgesic¹³, anticonvulsant^{14,15}, hepatoprotective^{16,17}, anthelmintic¹⁸, antimicrobial¹⁹, antihyperlipidemic²⁰, anti-

inflammatory^{21,22}, antioxidant^{16,23}, anti-diabetic²⁴ and neuroleptic activity²⁵.

Preliminary phytochemicals analysis of SIEE revealed the presence of flavonoids, tannins and saponins. There are reports that flavonoids and tannins have been found to be effective against ulcer in experimental animals²⁶. Hence, the present study was undertaken with the aim to assess the antiulcerogenic properties of SIEE.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and preparation of extracts

The aerial part of *Sphaeranthus indicus* were collected from fields of Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh. The plant was authenticated at Department of Botany, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh and was given a voucher number DB/SVI/2011/989. The collected aerial part of *Sphaeranthus indicus* were air dried and powdered. The powdered of *Sphaeranthus indicus* was extracted with petroleum ether, chloroform and 70 % ethanol in a Soxhlet extractor. The residue was removed by filtration and the extract evaporated to dryness at a lower temperature under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator. Preliminary phytochemical screening of ethanol extract of aerial part of *Sphaeranthus indicus* (SIEE) revealed the presence of saponins, glycosides, flavonoids, tannins, steroids and proteins.

Animals

Wistar albino rats (180-220g) and mice (18-25 g) of either sex were used for the study. Approval from the institutional animal Ethical committee

(1554/PO/a/11/CPCSEA) for usage of animal in the experiment was obtained as per the Indian CPCSEA guidelines.

Acute Toxicity studies

The acute toxicity was determined on albino mice by fixed dose method of OECD Guide line number 420 given by CPCSEA.

Anti-ulcer activity

Indomethacin induced ulcer

The albino rats of either sex weighing between 180 – 220 gm were divided into 4 groups of 6 animals each and fasted for 24 h with water *ad libitum* prior to experiment. The animals of group 1 were pretreated with vehicle and the animals of group 2 were treated with standard i.e. lansoprazole 8 mg/kg. Similarly the animals of group 3 and 4 were pre-treated with ethanol extract 200 mg/kg and 400mg/kg respectively. Indomethacin (30mg/kg p.o.) was administered to the animals of all groups, 60 minutes after the respective treatments. The animals were then sacrificed by cervical dislocation after 4 hrs. The stomach was taken out and cut open along the greater curvature²⁷. The number of ulcers per stomach were noted and severity of the ulcers were observed microscopically and scoring was done²⁸: 0 for normal coloured stomach, 0.5 for red coloration, 1 for spot ulcer, 1.5 for hemorrhagic streaks, 2 for ulcer between > 3 but < 5mm and 3 for ulcer > 5mm. Mean ulcer score for each animal was expressed as ulcer index. The percentage protection was calculated.

Ethanol (EtOH) induced ulcer

The albino rats of either sex weighing between 180 – 220 gm were divided into 4 groups of 6 animals each and fasted for 24 h with water *ad libitum* prior to experiment. The animals of group 1 were pretreated with vehicle and the animals of group 2 were treated with standard i.e. lansoprazole 8mg/kg. Similarly the animals of group 3

and 4 were pre-treated with ethanol extract 200 mg/kg and 400mg/kg respectively. Ethanol (100% 1ml/200 g, po) was administered to all the animals of all groups, 60 minutes after the respective treatments. The animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation after one hour of EtOH administration and stomach was incised along the greater curvature and examined for ulcers^{29,30}. The ulcer index was scored as mentioned above²⁷ and percentage protection was also reported.

Six hours Pylorus – ligated (PL) induced ulcers in rats

Albino rats of either sex weighing between 180 – 220 g were divided into 4 groups of 6 animals each and fasted for 18 h. Control vehicle (Group 1), standard drug (Group 2), extracts (Group 3 & 4) were administered 60 minutes prior to pyloric ligation under light ether anesthesia. The abdomen was opened and pyloric ligation was done without causing any damage to its blood supply. The stomach was replaced carefully and the abdomen wall was closed in two layers with interrupted sutures. The animals were deprived of water during the post operative period. After 6 hrs, stomach was dissected out; contents were collected into tubes for estimation of biochemical parameters. The stomach was taken out and cut open along the greater curvature and ulcers were scored and percentage protection was reported as mentioned in the above explained models^{27,31}.

Gastric Secretion - The gastric juice was collected 6 hours after pylorus ligation and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 2000 rpm and the volume of supernatant was noted. The pH of the gastric juice was recorded by the pH meter. Free acidity and total acidity were determined using 0.01N NaOH and Topfer's reagent containing phenolphthalein as indicator²⁷.

Table 1: Effect of SIEE on Gastric Secretion following 6 hours Pyloric Ligation induced Ulcer in Rats

Treatment	Volume (ml)	pH	Free Acidity (Eq/l)	Total Acidity (Eq/l)
Control	4.48± 0.27	1.99 ± 0.23	30± 1.826	97.74± 9.178
Lansoprazole 8mg/kg Body weight.	1.77±0.22***	5.97± 0.41 ***	12.5±0.93***	27.33± 2.03***
SIEE 200mg/kg Body weight	3.63± 0.26 ^{ns}	4.60 ± 0.26***	25.16± 3.02 ^{ns}	74±7.155*
SIEE 400mg/kg Body weight	3.25± 0.22*	5.62 ± 0.53***	21.33± 3.12 ^{ns}	62 ± 3.58**

Values are the mean ± S.E.M. of six rats / treatment.

Significance ^{ns}P >0.05, *P <0.05, **P<0.001 and *** P<0.001 Vs. Control

Table 2: Effect of SIEE on Indomethacin, Ethanol (1ml/200 gm) and 6 hours Pylorus ligation (PL) induced gastric ulcers in rats

Treatment	Ulcer Index (% of protection)		
	Indomethacin	Ethanol	Pylorus Ligation
Positive Control	4.16 ± 0.48	6.25 ± 0.64	5.33 ± 0.9189
Lansoprazole 8mg/kg Body weight	1.33 ± 0.28*** (68.03)	1.42 ± 0.40*** (77.28)	0.83 ± 0.17*** (84.43)
SIEE 200mg/kg Body weight	2.5 ± 0.37 ^{ns} (39.90)	2.58 ± 0.35*** (58.72)	2.33 ± 0.40*** (56.29)
SIEE 400mg/kg Body weight	1.67 ± 0.11*** (59.85)	2.17 ± 0.44*** (65.28)	1.92 ± 0.35*** (63.98)

Values are the mean ± S.E.M. of six rats / treatment.

Significance ^{ns}P >0.05 and *** P<0.001 Vs. Control

RESULTS

In the pylorus ligation induced ulcer model, SIEE significantly reduced the ulcer index, total acidity and raised the gastric pH in comparison to the control group. However no significant changes were observed with the free acidity and gastric volume (at 200 mg/kg dose) (Table 1). In the indomethacin induced ulcer model, the observations of positive control group indicated that indomethacin (30mg/kg) induced gastric ulcerations to the extent of 4.16 ± 0.48 (ulcer index). Pretreatment with test extracts reduced the ulceration in a dose dependant manner. The extent of gastro-protective effect of the test extracts was 39.90% and 59.85% at 200mg/kg and 400mg/kg doses respectively, which is comparable to that of standard lansoprazole 8mg/kg. Similar results were obtained with ethanol induced ulcer model also and the test extract has shown gastro-protection in a dose dependant manner i.e. 58.72% and 65.28 % protection at 200 and 400 mg/kg doses respectively. (8mg/kg) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In the pyloric ligation induced ulcer model, pyloric ligation -induced ulcers are thought to be due to increase in acid-pepsin accumulation due to pyloric obstruction and subsequent mucosal digestion³². The anti-ulcer property of SIEE in pylorus ligation model is evident from its significant reduction in free acidity, total acidity and ulcer index. Because SIEE treated animals significantly inhibited the formation of ulcers in the pylorus ligated rats and also decreased both the concentration and increased the pH, it is suggested that SIEE can suppress gastric damage induced by aggressive factors.

Several factors have been implicated in ethanol-induced gastric ulcers like products of arachidonate (LTC₄) metabolism, oxygen derived radicals, gastric mucosal blood flow, pepsinogen³³⁻³⁴, whereas depression of gastric mucosal blood flow reduces bicarbonate secretion and mucus production, thus allowing back diffusion of hydrogen ions³⁵. SIEE significantly protected the gastric mucosa against ethanol challenge as shown by reduced values of ulcer index as compared to control group suggesting its potent gastroprotective effect. Similarly NSAID'S like indomethacin inhibits COX₁ thereby inhibits the prostaglandin synthesis, consequently lipooxygenase pathway is enhanced liberating leukotrienes and these leukotrienes are reported to have a role in ulcerogenesis. In addition there is some evidence that NSAIDs may induce ulcer by causing the back diffusion of H⁺ ion into mucosal cells^{36,37}. Therefore the antiulcer effect of the SIEE may be due to its ability to inhibit the synthesis of prostaglandins/leukotrienes.

The phytochemical analysis of the SIEE revealed the presence of saponins and flavonoids, substances known to affect the integrity of mucous membranes. Flavonoids have also been reported to offer some protection in ulcer development by increasing capillary resistance and improving microcirculation³⁸.

In conclusion, our results showed that the antiulcer activity of the SIEE was perhaps a result of the interplay between its anti-secretory, cytoprotective and the antioxidant principle properties. Further investigation is going on to isolate, characterize and screen the active principles that possess anti-oxidant and gastroprotective properties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Padmashree Dr. D. Y. Patil college of Pharmacy, Akurdi, Pune for providing all the facilities to carry out this research work as a part of PhD research.

REFERENCES

1. Tripathi KD. Essentials of Medical Pharmacology. 5th ed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers Ltd; 1999.
2. Ariyiphisi et al. Recurrence during maintenance therapy with histamine H₂ receptors antagonist in cases of gastric ulcers. Nikon University Journal Medical 1986; 28: 69-74.
3. Sairam K, Rao CV, Goel RK. Effect of *Centella asiatica* linn on physical and chemical factors induced gastric ulceration and secretion. Indian J Exp Biol 2001; 39:137.
4. Sairam K, Rao CV, Goel RK. Effect of *Convolvulus pluricaulis* Chois on gastric ulceration and secretion in rats. Indian J Exp Biol 2001; 39: 350.
5. Kritkar KR, Basu BD. Indian Medicinal Plants, Lalit Mohan Basu, Allahabad; 2003. p. 1347.
6. Paranjape P, Indian Medicinal Plants, In: Forgotten healer: A guide of herbal medicine. Delhi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratisthan; 2001. p. 148-9.
7. Baslas, K. Essential oil from *Sphaeranthus indicus*, Perf Ess Oil Rec 1959; 50: 765.
8. Basu NK, Lamsal PP. Chemical Investigation of *Sphaeranthus indicus*. Linn. J Am Pharm Asso 1946; 35: 274-5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jps.3030350907>
9. Gupta RK, Chandra S, Mahadevan V. Chemical composition of *Sphaeranthus indicus*. Linn. Indian J Pharm 1967; 29: 47-8.
10. Gogate MG, Ananthasubramanian L, Nargund KS, Bhattacharya SC. Some interesting sesquiterpenoids from *Sphaeranthus indicus* Linn. Indian J Chem 1986; 25: 233-8.
11. Shekhani MS, Shah PM, Yasmin A, Siddiqui R, Perveen S, Khan KM. An immunostimulant sesquiterpene glycoside from *Sphaeranthus indicus*. Phytochem 1990; 29: 2573-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422\(90\)85191-H](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9422(90)85191-H)
12. Yadav RN, Kumar S. 7-hydroxy-3', 4', 5, 6-tetramethoxyflavone, A new flavone glycoside from the stem of *Sphaeranthus indicus* Linn. J Inst Chem 1998; 70: 164-6.
13. Nanda BK, Jena J, Rath B, Behera BR. Analgesic and antipyretic activity of whole parts of *Sphaeranthus indicus* Linn. J Chem Pharm Res 2009; 1: 207-212.
14. Sander JWAS, Shrovon SD. Epidemiology of epilepsies, J Neurology Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996; 61, 433-43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.61.5.433>
15. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods: Guide to Modern Techniques of plant Analysis, 3rd edition, New Delhi: Rajkamal Electric press; 1998. 1-32, p. 40-227.
16. Tiwari BK, Khosa RL. Hepatoprotective and antioxidant effects of *Sphaeranthus indicus* against acetaminophen induced hepatotoxicity in rats. J Pharma Sci Res 2009; 1: 26-30.
17. Nayak SS, Maity TK, Maiti BC. Hepatoprotective activity of *Sphaeranthus indicus* Linn. Int J Green Pharm 2007; 1: 32-6.
18. Lal J, Chandra S, Raviprakash V, Sabi M. In vitro anthelmintic action of some indigenous medicinal plants on *Ascaridia galli* worms, Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 1976; 20(2): 64-8.
19. Sohoni JS, Rojatkar SR, Kulkarni MM, Dhaneshwar NN, Tavale SS, Gururow TN. A new eudesmanolide and 2-hydroxycostic acid from *Sphaeranthus indicus* Linn. X-ray molecular-structure of 4 α , 5 α -epoxy-7 α -hydroxyeudesmanolide. J Chem Soc Perkin 1988; 1: 157-60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/p19880000157>
20. Pande VV, Dubey S. Antihyperlipidemic activity of *Sphaeranthus indicus* on atherogenic diet induced hyperlipidemia in rats. Int J Green Pharm 2009; 3: 159-61. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0973-8258.54911>

21. Jain A, Basal E. Inhibition of Propionibacterium acnes-induced mediators of inflammation by Indian herbs. *Phytomedicine* 2003; 10: 34–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1078/094471103321648638>
22. Heinrich M, Robles M, West JE, Ortiz D, Montellano BR, Rodriguez E. Ethnopharmacology of Mexican Asteraceae (Compositae). *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 1998; 38: 539–65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.38.1.539>
23. Shirwaikar A, Prabhu KS, Punitha IS. In vitro antioxidant studies of *Sphaeranthus indicus* (Linn.). *Indian J Exp Biol* 2006; 44: 993-6.
24. Prabhu KS, Lobo R, Shirwaikar A. Antidiabetic properties of the alcoholic extract of *Sphaeranthus indicus* in streptozotocin-nicotinamide diabetic rats. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 2008; 60: 909-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1211/jpp.60.7.0013>
25. Galani VJ, Patel BG. Effect of hydroalcoholic extract of *Sphaeranthus indicus* against experimentally induced anxiety, depression and convulsions in rodents. *Int J Ayurveda Res* 2010; 1: 87-92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0974-7788.64412>
26. Gracioso JS, Vilegas W, Hiruma-Lima CA, Souza Brito AR. Effects of tea from *Turnea ulmifolia* L. on mouse gastric mucosa support the Turneraceae as a new source of antiulcerogenic drugs. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2002; 25: 487-91. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.25.487>
27. Datta GK, Sairam K, Priyambada S, Debnath PK, Goel RK. Antiulcerogenic activity of Satavari mandw. An Ayurvedic herbo medical preparation. *Indian J Exp Biol* 2002; 40:1173-7.
28. Kulkarni SK, *Handbook of experimental Pharmacology*. New Delhi: Vallabh Prakashan; 2002. p.148.
29. Luis A, Jaime A, Rodriguez SH, Guillermo SH. Gastroprotective activity of Oleanolic acid derivatives on experimentally induced gastric lesions in rat and mice. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacol* 2002; 54: 583. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1211/0022357021778718>
30. Jabbar Z, Ali Mohd. Evaluation of antiulcer activity of the flowers of *Punica granatum* experimental animals. *Int J Res Ayurveda pharm* 2011; 2(4): 1210-3.
31. Shay et al. A Simple method for uniform production of gastric ulcers in rats. *Gastroenterol* 1945; 5:43.
32. Goel RK, Bhattacharya SK. Gasteroduodenal mucosal defence and mucosal protective agents. *Indian J Exp Biol* 1991; 29: 701.
33. Grag GP, Nigam SK, Ogle CW. The gastric antiulcer effects of the leaves of the neem tree. *Planta Med* 1993; 59: 215. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-959654>
34. Scinclair AJ. Free radical mediated gastric mucosal injury. *Gastroenterol* 1990; 30: 114.
35. Cho CH, Ogle CW. Modulatory action of adenosine on gastric function and ethanol-induced mucosal damage in rats. *Dig Dis Sci* 1990; 35: 1334. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01536737>
36. Roy et al. antiulcer and anti-inflammatory activity of aerial part of *Encostemma littorale* Blume. *J Young Pharm* 2010; 2(4): 369-73. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0975-1483.71629>
37. Davenport HW. Gastric mucosal haemorrhage in dose-effect of acid, aspirin and alcohol. *Gastroenterol* 1969; 56: 439-49.
38. Hashizume T, Hirokawa K, Aibara S, Ogawa H, Kashara A. *Arch Int Pharm Therap* 1978; 236: 96.

Cite this article as:

Shirode Devendra S, Jain Brijendra B, CB Mahendra Kumar. Antiulcer activity of *Sphaeranthus indicus* against indomethacin, ethanol and six hours pylorus ligation induced ulcer in rats. *Int. J. Res. Ayurveda Pharm.* 2015;6(6):781-784 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7897/2277-4343.066145>

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

Disclaimer: IJRAP is solely owned by Moksha Publishing House - A non-profit publishing house, dedicated to publish quality research, while every effort has been taken to verify the accuracy of the content published in our Journal. IJRAP cannot accept any responsibility or liability for the site content and articles published. The views expressed in articles by our contributing authors are not necessarily those of IJRAP editor or editorial board members.