Review Article

www.ijrap.net

(ISSN Online:2229–3566, ISSN Print:2277–4343)

AVASTHANUSARA VAMANA IN RAJAYAKSHMA: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF CLASSICAL PRINCIPLES AND CONTEMPORARY CLINICAL APPLICABILITY

Shakuntala Lamani [1]*, Gopu S [2]

[1] PG Scholar, Department of Panchakarma, Dhanvantari Ayurveda College, Hospital & PG Research Centre, Siddapur, Uttara Kannada District, Karnataka, India

[2] Professor & HOD, Department of Panchakarma, Dhanvantari Ayurveda College, Hospital & PG Research Centre, Siddapur, Uttara Kannada District, Karnataka, India

Received on: 02/9/26 Accepted on: 12/10/26

***Corresponding author**
E-mail: shakuntalalamani684@gmail.com

DOI: 10.7897/2277-4343.175193

ABSTRACT

Rajayakshma is a complex Ayurvedic disease entity characterised by progressive tissue depletion, variable Dosha involvement and manifestations affecting multiple systems. Classical texts include Rajayakshma among conditions in which Vamana (therapeutic emesis) may be particularly useful. However, this indication cannot be interpreted independently of the patient's current clinical state. Avasthanusara Chikitsa (treatment according to the prevailing stage or clinical state) provides a framework for individualising Shodhana (purificatory therapy) according to Dosha predominance, disease stage, Agni (digestive and metabolic capacity), Bala (strength), Dhatu status and tolerance for the procedure. The present review critically examines the classical basis of Vamana in Rajayakshma and discusses the factors that may determine its applicability. We reviewed classical Ayurvedic texts, relevant Ayurvedic literature, and contemporary literature on Rajayakshma and tuberculosis. The analysis indicates that the classical indication for Vamana is best understood in the context of Kapha predominance, adequate Dosha accumulation, and sufficient patient strength, while marked Dhatu Kshaya (tissue depletion), poor Agni, severe weakness, or other contraindicating states require avoidance, postponement, or modification of Shodhana. Contemporary literature does not currently provide adequate clinical evidence to establish Vamana as a treatment for tuberculosis. Therefore, its contemporary relevance lies primarily in applying classical patient-selection principles and ensuring appropriate clinical assessment rather than in claiming established anti-tubercular efficacy. Avasthanusara Vamana may therefore be regarded as a conditional, patient-specific therapeutic approach that requires prospective clinical evaluation of safety, selection criteria, and clinical outcomes.

Keywords: Rajayakshma, Vamana, Avasthanusara, Panchakarma, Shodhana, Dosha, Bala

INTRODUCTION

Ayurveda describes Rajayakshma as a complex disease entity associated with tissue depletion and a broad spectrum of clinical manifestations. Classical descriptions include Kasa (cough), Jwara (fever), Parshwashoola (pain in the flanks or chest region), and Raktashthivana (blood-stained expectoration), along with progressive loss of tissue integrity.[1,2] The therapeutic approach is therefore not based only on the disease name; assessment of Dosha, Dushya (affected tissues), Agni, Bala and the current clinical state is important when selecting treatment.

Shodhana (purificatory therapy) is indicated when Doshas are sufficiently aggravated and the patient is capable of tolerating the procedure.[3] Vamana is a principal Shodhana procedure directed mainly towards the elimination of aggravated Kapha through the upper route.[4] Classical literature explicitly includes Rajayakshma among the conditions in which Vamana may be particularly useful.[1] This statement is clinically important, but it must be interpreted together with the general principles governing eligibility, contraindications and procedural safety.

Rajayakshma presents a distinctive therapeutic problem because Dosha aggravation and Dhatu Kshaya may coexist. A patient may therefore have a classical indication for Kapha Shodhana while simultaneously having insufficient strength or physiological reserve to tolerate an intensive procedure. The principle of Avasthanusara Chikitsa, meaning treatment according to the prevailing clinical state, offers a rational way to address this apparent contradiction.[5, 6]

This review critically examines the classical basis for Vamana in Rajayakshma and discusses how Dosha Avastha (state of Dosha), Agni, Bala, Dhatu status, and disease stage may influence its contemporary clinical applicability.

RAJAYAKSHMA: AYURVEDIC CONCEPT

Rajayakshma occupies an important place in Ayurvedic disease classification and is described with multiple causative pathways and clinical presentations. Classical discussions include excessive physical activity, suppression of natural urges, improper dietary practices and progressive tissue depletion among relevant pathogenic circumstances.[2, 5] The disease is therefore better understood as a syndrome with variable Dosha-Dushya involvement rather than as a condition with one uniform clinical phenotype.

The clinical expression may vary according to the relative predominance of Vata, Pitta, and Panchakarma because the appropriateness of Shodhana depends on the Dosha state and the patient's condition at the time of treatment.

From an Ayurvedic perspective, Dhatu Kshaya is particularly important in Rajayakshma. When depletion and weakness predominate, nourishing measures such as Brimhana (nourishing

therapy) and Rasayana (rejuvenative/supportive therapy) may become more relevant than aggressive purification.[5, 6] Thus, the diagnosis of Rajayakshma alone should not be treated as an automatic indication for Vamana.

VAMANA IN THE CLASSICAL MANAGEMENT OF RAJAYAKSHMA

Vamana is one of the principal Shodhana procedures and is primarily used for disorders associated with aggravated Kapha.[4] Charaka specifically lists Rajayakshma among the conditions in which Vamana is particularly useful.[1] The classical statement establishes that Rajayakshma can constitute a Vamana-yogya (suitable-for-Vamana) clinical context; however, it does not by itself establish that every patient with Rajayakshma should undergo Vamana.

The general principles of Shodhana require consideration of the quantity and location of Dosha, patient's strength, Agni, Satva (mental strength), suitability and procedural tolerance.[3,4,7] The classical texts also describe serious consequences when Vamana is administered to individuals who are excessively emaciated, weak, exhausted or otherwise unable to tolerate the procedure.[3] These principles are particularly relevant to Rajayakshma because tissue depletion may be prominent.

Accordingly, the classical indication can be interpreted as conditional: Vamana becomes more rational when there is clinically significant Kapha predominance or Bahudosha Avastha (substantial accumulation of Doshas), adequate Bala and appropriate Shodhana suitability. The indication should not be separated from the safety principles governing administration.

CONCEPT OF AVASTHANUSARA VAMANA

Avasthanusara Chikitsa means adapting treatment to the prevailing clinical state rather than applying a fixed treatment solely based on the disease label.[5,6] In Panchakarma this principle is reflected in assessment of Dosha predominance, Agni, Bala, Satva, Dhatu status, disease stage and the presence of contraindicating conditions before deciding on Shodhana.

In Rajayakshma, this approach is especially relevant because the disease may move between states characterized by Dosha aggravation, tissue depletion, weakness and associated complications. A patient with adequate Bala and pronounced Kapha accumulation may have a different therapeutic requirement from a patient with marked Dhatu Kshaya and poor physiological reserve.

Therefore, Avasthanusara Vamana is best conceptualized as a clinical decision-making framework rather than a separate procedural form of Vamana. The procedure itself remains classical Vamana; what is individualized is the decision to administer it, the timing, preparation, intensity and subsequent management according to the patient's Avastha.

FACTORS GOVERNING THE SELECTION OF VAMANA

Dosha Avastha

Vamana is principally directed towards aggravated Kapha.[4,7] Therefore, evidence of Kapha predominance, sufficient accumulation of Dosha and a therapeutic requirement for Kapha Shodhana should be considered before selecting Vamana. The disease name alone is insufficient to establish this indication.

Agni

Agni represents digestive and metabolic capacity and is an important consideration before Shodhana.[3,7] Marked Mandagni (reduced digestive capacity) may indicate the need for Deepana-Pachana (measures intended to improve digestion and metabolize Ama) or other preparatory management before considering purification.

Bala

Bala, or physical strength, is a major determinant of Shodhana suitability. Rajayakshma may be accompanied by progressive weakness and tissue depletion. Classical contraindication principles caution against Vamana in excessively emaciated or debilitated individuals because of poor tolerance.[3,7]

Dhatu Status

The extent of Dhatu Kshaya should be assessed explicitly. Where tissue depletion is pronounced, the therapeutic objective may shift from elimination towards preservation and nourishment. Brimhana and Rasayana-oriented measures may therefore take priority in selected patients.[5,6]

Roga Avastha

The current stage and manifestations of Rajayakshma should influence treatment selection. Vamana may be considered when Dosha accumulation is prominent and the patient is sufficiently strong, whereas advanced depletion, severe weakness or complications may favor restorative management.

Rogi Bala and Satva

Individual tolerance is influenced not only by physical strength but also by Satva, cooperation, preparedness and overall physiological reserve.[7] These factors should be assessed as part of the pre-procedure evaluation.

WHEN VAMANA MAY BE CONSIDERED IN RAJAYAKSHMA

Based on the synthesis of classical principles, Vamana may be considered when the following broad features are present:
Predominant or clinically significant Kapha involvement Sufficient Dosha accumulation or Bahudosha Avastha. Adequate Bala and acceptable physiological reserve. Relatively preserved Agni or correction of major digestive impairment before Shodhana. Absence of major contraindications to Vamana. Clinical features suggesting a therapeutic requirement for Kapha Shodhana. Appropriate preparation and readiness for Shodhana.

These features should be interpreted as clinical considerations rather than as a validated scoring system. At present, no standardized evidence-based selection tool specific to Vamana in Rajayakshma has been established.

WHEN VAMANA MAY BE AVOIDED OR MODIFIED

Particular caution is warranted when Rajayakshma is accompanied by marked Dhatu Kshaya, severe weakness, pronounced Mandagni, inadequate nutritional status, exhaustion or other conditions that reduce tolerance to Vamana. Classical sources describe serious complications when Vamana is administered to excessively emaciated or debilitated patients.[3]
In such situations, treatment may need to emphasize Deepana-Pachana, Brimhana, Rasayana and other supportive measures according to the prevailing Avastha.[5,6] If a Shodhana-based approach is subsequently considered, the timing, preparation and intensity should be individualized after reassessment.

This distinction is central to Avasthanusara Chikitsa. It recognizes that two patients carrying the same diagnostic label may require different therapeutic priorities because their Dosha, Dhatu, Agni and Bala profiles are different.

CONTEMPORARY CLINICAL APPLICABILITY

In contemporary literature, Rajayakshma is frequently discussed in relation to tuberculosis and other chronic wasting presentations.[8,9] However, an exact one-to-one equivalence between Ayurvedic Rajayakshma and pulmonary tuberculosis should be avoided. Ayurvedic Rajayakshma is a broader conceptual disease entity with its own etiological, pathological and therapeutic framework, while tuberculosis is an infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and diagnosed and treated according to contemporary biomedical criteria.[10,11]

This distinction is clinically important. Contemporary tuberculosis management requires appropriate diagnosis, drug-susceptibility assessment where indicated, and evidence-based anti-tubercular treatment.[10-12] The present review does not propose Vamana as a substitute for standard tuberculosis treatment.

Evidence specifically evaluating Vamana as an intervention for Rajayakshma or tuberculosis remains limited. A systematic review of Ayurvedic approaches to pulmonary tuberculosis reported that available evidence was sparse and largely concerned supportive or adjunctive approaches rather than establishing definitive anti-tubercular efficacy.[13] More recent literature on Vamana emphasizes assessment of Dosha Avastha, Agni, Bala and related suitability factors, but does not provide adequate clinical evidence to establish Vamana as an evidence-based treatment for tuberculosis.[7]

Consequently, the contemporary applicability of Avasthanusara Vamana should presently be framed as a classical, patient-selection and safety-oriented concept requiring clinical investigation. Its possible role should be studied in appropriately characterized Ayurvedic patients, with clearly defined inclusion criteria, safety monitoring and clinically meaningful outcomes, without conflating the intervention with established anti-mycobacterial therapy.

DISCUSSION

The classical inclusion of Rajayakshma among conditions for which Vamana is particularly useful is the central issue requiring interpretation.[1] At first sight, this indication may appear difficult to reconcile with the tissue depletion and weakness that can accompany Rajayakshma. The apparent contradiction is reduced when the indication is interpreted within the broader framework of Shodhana eligibility. The classical literature does not treat disease names in isolation; suitability depends on the state of Dosha, the condition of the patient and the capacity to Rajayakshma is strongest when the patient has clinically meaningful Kapha predominance or sufficient accumulation of Dosha and has adequate Bala. The concept of Bahudosha Avastha is important in this context because purification is intended for situations in which Doshas are sufficiently accumulated for elimination. A patient with predominantly depleted tissues and inadequate strength represents a substantially different therapeutic situation from one with adequate reserve and prominent Kapha aggravation. The classical Panchakarmiya Siddhi discussion also places Rajayakshma among the conditions for which Vamana is particularly useful.[17]

Agni provides another important decision point. Poor digestive and metabolic capacity may impair the patient's ability to tolerate intensive purification and may indicate the need for preparatory measures. Classical Shodhana principles emphasize assessment of Agni and other patient factors before administration.[7] Thus, the sequence of treatment may matter correction of major digestive impairment and preparation may precede a later decision regarding Shodhana, while a persistently weak or depleted patient may require a different therapeutic objective.

Bala and Dhatu status should be considered together rather than independently. Rajayakshma may involve progressive loss of tissue and strength; in such a state, an intervention that further challenges physiological reserve may not be appropriate. Classical descriptions of Vamana contraindications in excessively emaciated or debilitated individuals reinforce this safety consideration.[3] The presence of a disease-level indication therefore does not override patient-level contraindications or poor tolerance.

The concept of Avasthanusara Chikitsa provides a useful interpretive bridge between these principles. It allows the same diagnosis to lead to different treatment choices according to the patient's current state. In one clinical state, Kapha Shodhana may be considered; in another, Brimhana- or Rasayana-oriented management may better align with the therapeutic objective of preserving and rebuilding depleted tissues. This is not a rejection of the classical indication for Vamana; rather, it places that indication within the wider logic of individualized Ayurvedic therapeutics.

An additional consideration is the distinction between Ayurvedic Rajayakshma and contemporary tuberculosis. Rajayakshma has been correlated with tuberculosis in several Ayurvedic publications, but the two constructs are not diagnostically identical.[8,9,13] Tuberculosis is a communicable infectious disease requiring contemporary diagnostic confirmation and appropriate anti-tubercular treatment.[10-12] Therefore, a discussion of Vamana in Rajayakshma should not be interpreted as evidence that Vamana treats Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection or can replace anti-tubercular drugs.

The available contemporary Ayurvedic literature does not currently provide sufficient clinical evidence to establish the efficacy of Vamana for tuberculosis.[13] The more defensible contemporary contribution of the present review is therefore conceptual: it identifies patient-selection variables that can be examined prospectively. Future research could evaluate whether a predefined combination of Kapha predominance, Bala, Agni, Dhatu status and other Avastha-based parameters can reliably identify patients who tolerate Vamana safely and whether any clinically meaningful outcomes are associated with such individualized treatment. Current guidance for drug-susceptible tuberculosis emphasizes appropriate anti-tubercular regimens and monitoring, reinforcing the distinction between contemporary TB care and an Ayurvedic Shodhana indication.[20]

Methodologically, future studies should distinguish between classical textual indication and clinical efficacy. Prospective observational studies could first document patient characteristics, procedural suitability, adverse events and outcomes in patients selected according to predefined classical criteria. Subsequently, controlled clinical studies could examine specific indications while maintaining appropriate contemporary diagnosis and standard care. In patients with confirmed tuberculosis, any Ayurvedic intervention should be studied only as an adjunct to appropriate anti-tubercular management rather than as a replacement. The broader classical principle that Vamana is

primarily directed towards Kapha disorders is also described in Charaka samhita.[16]

The present review has limitations. It is a narrative and critical synthesis rather than a systematic review with formal risk-of-bias assessment. Classical interpretations may also vary among editions, commentators and schools of Ayurvedic thought. In addition, the current clinical literature directly evaluating Avasthanusara Vamana in Rajayakshma is insufficient to permit standardized dosing, procedural intensity or outcome recommendations. These limitations support the need for carefully designed clinical research rather than extrapolation beyond the available evidence. Contemporary descriptions of the Rajayakshma chapter likewise emphasize its wasting-disease framework.[18]

Overall, the classical literature supports a conditional role for Vamana in Rajayakshma, while simultaneously providing principles that restrict its indiscriminate use. The clinically meaningful interpretation is therefore not 'Vamana for every patient with Rajayakshma,' but 'Vamana when the patient's current Avastha fulfils the requirements for safe and rational Kapha Shodhana.' This distinction preserves the classical indication while incorporating patient safety and contemporary evidentiary standards. Classical descriptions of complications of improperly administered Vamana further support careful procedural assessment and monitoring.[19]

CONCLUSION

Rajayakshma is a complex Ayurvedic disease entity in which Dosha disturbance may coexist with Dhatu Kshaya and reduced strength. Classical texts include Rajayakshma among conditions in which Vamana may be particularly useful, but this indication should be interpreted together with the principles governing Shodhana suitability. Avasthanusara Vamana therefore represents a conditional, patient-specific approach in which Dosha predominance, disease stage, Agni, Bala, Dhatu status and overall procedural suitability are assessed before treatment. Marked depletion, severe weakness, poor physiological reserve or other contraindicating states may favor postponement or avoidance of Vamana and consideration of nourishing or restorative measures.

Contemporary evidence is presently insufficient to establish Vamana as a treatment for tuberculosis; therefore, future research should focus on standardized patient-selection criteria, safety and clinically meaningful outcomes while maintaining appropriate contemporary care.

REFERENCES

1. Sharma RK, Das B. Charaka Samhita: Text with English translation and critical exposition based on Chakrapani Datta's Ayurveda Dipika. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Series Office; 2017. Siddhi Sthana, Chapter 2, verse 10. p.182.
2. Sharma RK, Das B. Charaka Samhita: Text with English translation and critical exposition based on Chakrapani Datta's Ayurveda Dipika. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Series Office; 2017. Chikitsa Sthana, Chapter 8, verses 63-64, 87-88. p.379, 384.
3. Murthy KR Srikantha. Illustrated Sushruta Samhita: text, English translation, notes, appendices and index. Vol.2. Reprint ed. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Orientalia; 2019. Chikitsa Sthana, Chapter 34, Vamana Virechana Sadyoupadrava Chikitsa Adhyaya, verse 18. p.311.
4. Sreekumar T. Principles of Ayurveda explained in dexterous verse: Ashtanga Hridaya of Vagbhata, Sutrasthana. Vol.II. 4th ed. Mannuthy, Thrissur: Harishree Hospital Publication Department; 2013. Chapter 18, Vamana Virechana Vidhi Adhyaya, verses 1-2. p.56.
5. Shirke V. Rajayakshma: Ayurvedic classical literature review. Ayurline Int J Res Indian Med. 2021; 5(3). doi:10.52482/ayurline.v5i03.551.
6. Vagbhata. Ashtanga Hridaya with Sarvangasundara commentary of Arunadatta and Ayurveda Rasayana commentary of Hemadri. Paradakara HS, editor. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; reprint 2014. Chikitsa Sthana, Rajayakshmadi Chikitsa, Chapter 5, verses 1-2.
7. Aneesh EG, Deole YS. Vamana. Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Development Centre; 2020. doi:10.47468/CSNE.2020.e01.s09.032. Available from: https://www.carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php?title=Vamana [cited 2026 Sep 29].
8. Pillai VM, Gholap K, Acharekar AD. A comparative study of Rajayakshma and pulmonary tuberculosis: review article. Int Ayurvedic Med J. 2022; 10(12):3291-3296. Doi: 10.46607/iamj0410122022.
9. Samal J. Ayurvedic management of pulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review. J Intercult Ethnopharmacol. 2016; 5(1):86-91. doi:10.5455/jice.20151107020621.
10. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2025. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025. ISBN 978-92-4-011692-4. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240116924 [cited 2026 Sep 29].
11. World Health Organization. WHO consolidated guidelines on tuberculosis: module 4: treatment and care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025. ISBN 978-92-4-010724-3. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240107243 [cited 2026 Sep 29].
12. Central Tuberculosis Division, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. Technical and operational guidelines for tuberculosis control: Chapter 4, treatment of TB. New Delhi: National Tuberculosis Elimination Programme. Available from: https://tbcindia.mohfw.gov.in/tog-chapter-4-treatment-of-tb/ [cited 2026 Sep 29].
13. Rao G, Seetharamu MS, Kumar AM. Indications and contraindications of Vamana: a comprehensive review. Int Ayurvedic Med J. 2026; 14(3). Doi: 10.46607/iamj1614032026.
14. World Health Organization. WHO consolidated operational handbook on tuberculosis: module 4: treatment and care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025. ISBN 978-92-4-010814-1. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240108141 [cited 2026 Sep 29].
15. World Health Organization. Tuberculosis. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2025 Nov Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/tuberculosis [cited 2026 Sep 29].
16. Sharma RK, Das B. Charaka Samhita: Text with English translation and critical exposition based on Chakrapani Datta's Ayurveda Dipika. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Series Office; 2017. Sutra Sthana, Chapter 25, verse 40.
17. Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Skill Development Centre. Panchakarmiya Siddhi. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi Sthana, Chapter 2. Jamnagar: Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Skill Development Centre; 2020. doi:10.47468/CSNE.2020.e01.s08.003. Available from: https://carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php?title=Panchakarmiya_Siddhi [cited 2026 Sep 29].
18. Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Skill Development Centre. Rajayakshma Chikitsa. Charaka Samhita, Chikitsa Sthana, Chapter 8. Jamnagar: Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Skill Development Centre; 2020. https://www.carakasamhitaonline.com/index.php/Rajayakshma_Chikitsa [cited 2026 Sep 29].

19. Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Skill Development Centre. Vamana Virechana Vyapat Siddhi. Charaka Samhita, Siddhi Sthana, Chapter 6. Jamnagar: Charaka Samhita Research, Training and Skill Development Centre; 2020. Available from:https://www.caraka samhitaonline.com/index.php/Vamana_Virechana_Vyapat_Siddhi [cited 2026 Sep 29].
20. World Health Organization. WHO consolidated operational handbook on tuberculosis: module 4: treatment—drug-susceptible tuberculosis treatment. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. ISBN 978-92-4-005076-1. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240050761 [cited 2026 Sep 29].

Cite this article as:

Shakuntala Lamani and Gopu S. Avasthanusara vamana in Rajayakshma: A critical review of classical principles and contemporary clinical applicability. Int. J. Res. Ayurveda Pharm. 2026;17(5):186-190. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.7897/2277-4343.175193

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None declared

Disclaimer: IJRAP is solely owned by Moksha Publishing House, a non-profit publishing house dedicated to publishing quality research. We have made every effort to verify the accuracy of the content published in our journal. IJRAP cannot accept any responsibility or liability for the site content and articles published. The views expressed in articles by our contributing authors are not necessarily those of the IJRAP editor or editorial board members.