Research Article

Available online through



CURRENT TREND OF ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF METHICILLIN RESISTANT *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* ISOLATED FROM TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL DELHI NCR REGION INDIA

Aslam Mohd^{1*}, Khan Moinuddin¹, Ahmad Sayeed², Sharma L³ ¹School of Life Sciences Singhania University Rajasthan India ²Faculty of Pharmacy, Jamia Hamdard New Delhi India ³Artemis Hospital Delhi NCR India

Received on: 07/06/2011 Revised on: 19/07/2011 Accepted on: 11/08/2011

ABSTRACT

Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is a hazardous nosocomial pathogen. Therefore the knowledge of current trend of MRSA and their antimicrobial profile is necessary. The main goal of the present study to determine the current trend of MRSA and their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in tertiary care hospital Delhi NCR region India.

Clinical specimens were cultured as per conventional microbiological methods. The organisms were identified by catalase test, coagulase tube test and mannitol test. Antimicrobial susceptibility test was done as per Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method; the isolates were tested for methicillin resistance by using oxacillin disc.

A total of 551 isolates were tested as *S.aureus* out of these 72 isolates were identified as MRSA.Resistant pattern to Augmentin and Cefazolin was 100% and resistant to vancomycin was not found. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern varies from region to region but vancomycin still found most effective drug against MRSA in India. **KEY WORD:** MRSA, antibiotics, trend, S aureus

KEY WORD: MIRSA, antibiotics, trend, S aurel

*Address of Correspondence

Dept of Microbiology School of Life Sciences Singhania University Rajasthan, India Email: aslam sadaf@yahoo.co.in

INTRODUCTION

Methicillin resistance in *S.aureus* was first described in 1961¹. Subsequently more & more incident was reported worldwide². The worst feature of the MRSA, as it resist to many of the antibiotics. Chronic carrier stage among health care workers have found greater resistance of the strains ³. High risk groups for MRSA infection was notice to the patients admitted in tertiary care centres, burn units & ICU^{4,5}. MRSA strains have often been responsible for nosocomial infections worldwide⁶. The multiple drug resistance makes the therapy more difficult & drug like vancomycin is quite expensive. Proper monitoring & surveillance on MRSA in hospitals is essential to control the problem. The reports in India⁷⁻¹¹ suggests increasing trend of MRSA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted from May 2009 to May 2011 in a tertiary care hospital having 550 beds facility. A total 18774 clinical specimens were included in this study. Out these 190 clinical specimens produces *S.aureus* as shown in **(table 1)**. On further testing 72 strains were identified as MRSA. The clinical specimens included in this study were pus (wound and pus swabs), respiratory (sputum, endotracheal secretion, bronchial alveolar lavage, throat swab) blood, fluids (CSF, pleural fluid, other fluids) and urine specimens.

The clinical specimens were cultured on blood agar,MacConkey agar and mannitol salt agar and incubated at 37^{0} C for 24 hours. The colonies of Gram positive cocci in clusters were further confirmed for the production of free coagulase enzyme and mannitol permentation according to standard methods¹².

All the confirmed *S.aureus* strains were subsequently tested for methicillin resistance based on Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion methods using oxacillin disc (1µg) from Hi Media, India. The Mueller Hinton agar plates with lawn culture of the isolates (equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standards) on which oxacillin disc was applied along other drugs and incubated aerobically at 37^{0} C for 24 hours. All the result was interpreted as per CLSI

guidline¹³. Isolates with inhibition zone of < 10 mm around the oxacillin disc was considered as MRSA strains. The antimicrobials tested on MRSA were Oxacillin, Cefazolin Augmentin, Ciprofloxacin, Erythromycin, Amikacin, Doxycycline, Clindamycin, Gentamicin Netilmicin. Rifampicin. Tetracvcline. Tigecycline, Linezolid and Vancomycin. S. aureus ATCC 29213 was used as control strain for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. All antibiotics discs and culture media used in this study were from Hi Media, India.

RESULTS

A total number of 551 S. aureus strains were tested out of which 72 isolates were resistant to oxacillin and confirmed as MRSA (13 %). The maximum number MRSA were isolated from pus specimens followed by respiratory specimens. The prevalence MRSA isolated from different clinical specimens were; pus specimens 37(22%), respiratory specimens 23(16%), blood specimens 9 (8.5%), fluids 3 (9.4%) and no MRSA isolated from urine specimens (0%). Demographically analysis of data shows 41(56.9%) and 31(43.1%) of MRSA isolates were from males and females respectively. The age wise distribution of MRSA infection is shown in (Fig 1). The current trend antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of MRSA is shown in (Table 2). However all the isolates were sensitive to vancomycin.

DISCUSSION

MRSA is recognized as a big problem worldwide. The prevalence of MRSA in hospitals varies considerably from region to region and among hospitals in the same city but the data on the prevalence of MRSA in Indian hospitals is limited. The important reservoirs of MRSA in hospitals are infected patients and transient nasal carriers among hospital staff. In India, the MRSA infections emerged as a big problem in the 1980s and 1990s. The MRSA isolates are often resistant to several antibiotics. In the last 20 years, the appearance and world wide spread of many such isolates have created major therapeutic challenges in many hospitals and have led to diversion of considerable resources to attempts at controlling their spread. Several studies show that epidemiology of MRSA is not uniform in different parts of India and also has variations with time at the same hospitals 14 .

We have isolated 72 MRSA strains from different clinical specimens submitted to tertiary care hospital Delhi NCR region India. This amounts to a prevalence rate of (13.0%) among the patients suffering from S.aureus infection. Various investigators have reported a prevalence rate of MRSA ranging from 26% to 51.6% in India^{15,16}. A significant observation in our

study was the decreased isolates of MRSA from tertiary care hospital. Literature shows a highly variable carrier rate ranging from 0% to 29%^{17,18,19}. All the strains were resistant to Cefazolin and Augmentin. Resistance to Tigecycline, Linezolid and Vancomycin was not found. In current study, MRSA infection was found more in males (56.9%) and less in females (43.1%). In the present study it was noted that the extreme of the ages were more prone to get MRSA infection. In age group 0-10 years both sexes were equally affected(11.1%) while in age group 51-60 male patients were more predominant (30.6%).

CONCLUSION

Antimicrobial resistance results increased morbidity, mortality, and costs of health care. Prevention of the emergence of MRSA will reduce these adverse effects and their attendant costs. Vancomycin was found most effective drug against MRSA and can be used as a firstline treatment for the infection caused by MRSA. This is because alternative treatments are limited; development of resistance to vancomycin can make treatment of MRSA infections increasingly difficult. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and World Health Organization have recommended that there is need to find out some newer alternative to get rid of such problem. The data presented in current study indicated that there was no significant difference of MRSA on geographical location of the patients attending the hospitals located wide apart. The data on prevalence of CA- (MRSA) and HA- (MRSA) was not very clear so not presented. Elderly aged male were preponderance and it was considered as an important demographic findings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Dr. D. Kumar consultant pathologist from max super-specialty hospital for spending his precious time and helping us during the conduct of study. A special thanks to L.Sharma from Artemis Hospital for all his guidance and valuable help. **REFERENCES**

- 1. Jevons MP. Celbenin resistant *Staphylococci*. Br Med J 1964; 1:124-6.
- 2. Sanderson PJ Staying one jump ahead of resistant *S. aureus*. Br. Med J 1986;293:573-4.
- 3. Lacey RW Antibiotic resistance, plasmids of *S. aureus* and their clinical Importance, Bacteriol rev 1975;39:1-32.
- 4. Preheim LC, Rimland DR, Bittner MJ Methicillin resistant *S. aureus* in veterans administrative medical centres. Infect Control 1987;8:191193.
- 5. Mehta A Rodrigues C, Kumar et al. A pilot programme of MRSA surveillance in India. J Postgraduate, Med 1996;42:1-3.
- 6. Marples RR, Cooke EM Current problems with methiciilin resistant *S. aureus* J Hosp Infect 1988;11:381-383.
- 7. Pulimodd TB, Lalitha MK, Jesudason MV, Pandian R, Selwyan J, John TJ. Spectrum of antimicrobial resistance among MRSA

International Journal of Research in Ayurveda & Pharmacy, 2(4), 2011 1339-1342

in a tertiary care centre in India, India J. Med. Res 1996; 103:212-5.

- 8. Mathur SK, Singal S, Prasad KN, Kishore J, Ayyagiri A. Prevalence of MRSA in tertiary care hospital, Indian J Medical Micro 1994;12:96-101.
- 9. Kelkar R. MRSA : An expensive battle with the most versatile human pathogen. Bombay Hosp. J 1997;39:64-69.
- 10. Choudhary U, Anupama. Prevalence of methicillin resistance in *S. aureus*. Indian J Medical Micro 1999:17:154-155.
- 11. Balgia S, Shenoy S, Vidyalaxmi K, Dhanshree B. Netilmicin resistance in *S. aureus*. Nat Med J India 1999;12:192-192.
- Betty AF, Daniel FS. (Editors) Slaphylococcus, Micrococcus and Similar Organisms. Chapter 19. In: Baily and Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology, 11th edn. (Mosby Inc: St. Louis); 2002.P.284.
- Villanova PA. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests. In: National committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Approved standard. 7th edn. National committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards; 2000. p.M2-A7.
- 14. Pulimood TB, Lalitha MK, Jesudson MV, Pandian R. Selwyn JJ. The Spectrum of antimicrobial resistance among

methicillin resistant *Staphvlococcus aureus* /ivlRSA) in a tertiary care in India. Indian J Med Res 1996; 103:212-5.

- Mehta AP, Rodrigues C, Sheth K, Jani S, Hikimiyan A. Fazalbhoy N. Control of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a tertiary care centre-A five year study. J Med Microbiol 1998; 16:31-4.
- Assadullah S, Kakru DK, Thoker MA, Bhat FA, Hussain N, Shah A. Emergence of low level vancomycin resistance in MRSA. Indian J Med Microbiol 2003: 21:196-8.
- 17. Sachdev D, Amladi Nataraj S. G. Baveja S. KharkarV, Maharajan S, et al outbreak .An of (MRSA) methicillin resistant Slaphylococcus aureus infection dermatology indoor patients. Indian J in Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2003; 69:377-80.
- Mehta AP, Rodrigues C, Sheth K, Jani S, Hikimiyan A. Fazalbhoy N. Control of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a tertiary care centre-A five year study. J Med Microbiol 1998; 16:31-4.
- 19. Pulimood TB, Lalitha MK, Jesudson MV, Pandian R. SelwynJJ. The Spectrum of antimicrobial resistance among methicillin resistant *Staphvlococcus aureus* /ivIRSA) in a tertiary care in India. Indian J Med Res 1996; 103:212-5.

| Ta | MRSA | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|----------------------|--------|------------|
| Specimens | Total Specimens | GPC | Coagulase + S.aureus | Number | Percentage |
| Pus | 755 | 168 | 111 | 37 | 22 |
| Respiratory | 1654 | 144 | 44 | 23 | 16 |
| Blood | 4507 | 106 | 18 | 9 | 8.5 |
| Fluids | 583 | 32 | 11 | 3 | 9.4 |
| Urine | 5275 | 101 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 18774 | 551 | 190 | 72 | 13 |

| Table 2, Antimicrobials susceptibility pattern of MRSA(N=72) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Antibiotics | Disc Content | Resistant | | Sensitive | | | | | |
| | | Number | % | Number | % | | | | |
| Oxacillin | 1µg | 72 | 100 | 00 | 00 | | | | |
| Cefazolin | 30µg | 72 | 100 | 00 | 00 | | | | |
| Augmentin | 20/10µg | 72 | 100 | 00 | 00 | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 5µg | 58 | 80.6 | 14 | 19.4 | | | | |
| Erythromycin | 15µg | 57 | 79.2 | 15 | 20.8 | | | | |
| Amikacin | 30µg | 55 | 76.4 | 17 | 23.6 | | | | |
| Doxycycline | 30µg | 50 | 69.4 | 22 | 30.6 | | | | |
| Clindamycin | 2µg | 47 | 65.3 | 25 | 34.7 | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 10µg | 44 | 61.1 | 28 | 38.9 | | | | |
| Netilmicin | 30µg | 43 | 59.7 | 29 | 40.3 | | | | |
| Rifampicin | 5µg | 42 | 58.3 | 30 | 41.7 | | | | |
| Tetracycline | 30µg | 37 | 51.4 | 35 | 48.6 | | | | |
| Tigecycline | 30µg | 00 | 00 | 72 | 100 | | | | |
| Linezolid | 30µg | 00 | 00 | 72 | 100 | | | | |
| Vancomycin | 30µg | 00 | 00 | 72 | 100 | | | | |

International Journal of Research in Ayurveda & Pharmacy, 2(4), 2011 1339-1342

Aslam Mohd et al / IJRAP 2011, 2 (4) 1339-1342



Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

International Journal of Research in Ayurveda & Pharmacy, 2(4), 2011 1339-1342